Plainview Independent School District Coronado Jr. High

2017-2018 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: Met Standard

Distinction Designations:

Academic Achievement in Science

Mission Statement

At Coronado we do whatever it takes to ensure that students learn.

Vision

Coronado Middle School will be a distinguished campus focused on student centered learning, growth, and success.

Value Statement

Coronado Middle School values are positive attitude and willing spirit.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Coronado is a middle school composed of 602 students. Grade span was 6-8. 73.6% were economically disadvantaged. 77% of the students were Hispanic, 3% were African American, 17% were white. Percent in attendance were 95.91%. 7% were ELL students while 10% were served by special education. Coronado is the middle school ELL campus and several Spanish speaking only parents bring their students to this school. There are 82 GT students and 19 migrant students. The student population is 51% male and 49% female. 24% of our students are CTE.

Demographics Strengths

Plainview is a rural area with three feeder elementaries. 5th grade students that came into Coronado performed well on the STAAR.

Student Academic Achievement

Student Academic Achievement Summary

In the 2016-2017 Texas Acountability Report, STAAR Performance all students scored at approaches grade level in the following areas--65% in all subjects. 66% in all reading, 71% in mathematics, 59% in writing, 67% in science, and 47% in social studies. Among all subjects, all students progressed in the following areas--54% met or exceeded progress and 13% exceeded progress. In reading 54% met or exceeded progress and 17% exceeded progress. In mathematics 54% met or exceeded progress and 9% exceeded progress. Under index 3 for the reading performance 10% economically disadvantaged and 11% mastered grade level. For mathematics performance, 7% eocnomically disadvantaged and 8% Hispanic mastered grade level. For writing performance, 3% Hispanic mastered grade level. Under social studies 8% economically disadvantaged and 5% Hispanic mastered grade level. 28% of all student met grade level.

Student Academic Achievement Strengths

Index 3 has is at its highest point since the inception of Coronado Middle School. From 2014 to 2016, index 3 was stationary at 31. It increased to 33 in 2017. There has been a gradual rise in index 4 from 26 in 2014 to 30 in 2015 and 2016 and reaching its highest level at 32. Grade 8 Reading was in Quartile 1, EOC Algebra I was in Quartile 1. Grade 8 Science performance was in Quartile 1 which allowed a distinction.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: 22% of 6th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Math test. **Root Cause**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of effective planning and student centered instruction.

Problem Statement 2: 23% of 6th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Reading test. **Root Cause**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of student relationships.

Problem Statement 3: 36% of 8th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Science test. **Root Cause**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of student centered instruction, and low expectations

Problem Statement 4: 16% of 8th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Social Studies test. **Root Cause**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of purpose, relevance, and interest.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Coronado currently has three CTE classes--AG, technology, and careers. We offer Spanish and health for high school credit. Our PreAP program includes 7th grade reading and writing, math, and 8th grade reading, math, science, social studies, and Algebra I. We have recently become the ELL middle school campus for Plainview ISD. We have an ESL interventionist and on assistant. Our special ed staff includes three inclusion teachers, a resource teacher, a functional teacher, and a transitional teacher. There are one-on-one and functional assistants. The school is staffed with inclusion assistants. We use the TEKS resource system while supplementing instruction with fundamental five and Kagan strategies. Our core teachers have two conference periods--a personal conference and a teaming time with their department. There are two assistant principals

School Processes & Programs Strengths

100% of our students are enrolled in a CTE careers course. We lost only one core teacher from last school year.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Based off the 2016-2017 parent survey, parent concern was greatest centered around the school talking about homework and programs on a regular basis. The prinicipal does daily cross walk before and after school. Staff members participate in duties before and after school. PBIS continues to be refined. Specific parts of PBIS were addressed and adjusted for the 2017-2018 school year. The mission statement of Coronado is to do whatever it takes to ensure that all students learn at high levels. The vision statement involves learning, growing, and succeeding. The values of Coronado are a positive attitude and a willing spirit.

Perceptions Strengths

School safety was ranked high. When asked if a their child felt safe in school, a high majority of parents showed positive responses.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

• Campus goals

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Performance Index Framework Data: Index 1 Student Achievement
- Performance Index Framework Data: Index 2 Student Progress
- Performance Index Framework Data: Index 3 Closing Performance Gaps
- Performance Index Framework Data: Index 4 Postsecondary Readiness
- System Safeguards and Texas Accountability Intervention System (TAIS) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- PBMAS data

Student Data: Assessments

• Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Economically Disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education population, including performance, discipline, attendance, and mobility
- ELL or LEP data, including academic achievement, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.

Parent/Community Data

• Parent surveys and/or other feedback

Goals

Goal 1: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 6th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR math test will increase from 22% to 23%.

Evaluation Data Source(s): Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 6th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR math test will increase from 22% to 23%.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Summative Evaluation 1:

				Revie		ws	
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		Formative		
				Nov Jan	Mar	June	
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4		1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all				
Critical Success Factors		Principals	students				
CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7		G 1					
1) Expenses for professional development on instructional	Problem S	tatements: Student Aca	ademic Achievement I				
practices.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 8, 9		1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all				
Critical Success Factors			students	-			
CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 4							
2) Targeted, effective academic interventions aligned to	Problem S	tatements: Student Aca	ndemic Achievement 1				
classroom instruction for students at risk of not meeting							
state standards, including use of technology and training.	1 2 4 0	N (-41, 41,	10/ in				
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 4, 8,		1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all students				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7			pudento				
	Problem S	tatements: Student Aca	ndemic Achievement 1				
3) Support for data analysis activities and data-driven	Toolem 5	atomonio. Student 1100	demic rement i				
instruction.							

System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 4	Administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		math teachers	students.						
4) Professional development for teachers: instructional practices, differentiated instruction, classroom management, and cultural competence, etc.	Problem S	Problem Statements: Student Academic Achievement 1							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	Administration	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 3			students.						
5) Principal academies and/or professional development specific to instructional leadership skills.	Problem S	Statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 1						
System Safeguard Strategy	1	Teachers and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all						
Critical Success Factors		administration	students.						
CSF 1 6) Buy effective instructional materials, including intervention materials for at-risk students.			cademic Achievement 1						
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		teachers	students.						
7) Professional development for teachers to include effective instructional practices, tiered academic interventions, job-embedded coaching for teachers and other school leaders.	Problem S	Statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 1						
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 4 CSF 6 CSF 7		teachers	students.						
8) School wide training for all on effective classroom management.			cademic Achievement 1						
System Safeguard Strategy	1	teachers and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 3 CSF 6 CSF 7	8	administration	students.						
9) Offer scheduled-uninterrupted common planning/PLCs, specific to math and literacy initiatives.	Problem S	Statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 1						
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 4	Administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		inclusion teachers	students.						
10) Professional development specific to students with disabilities for ALL staff.	Problem S	Statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 1						

System Safeguard Strategy Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7	1, 3, 9	administration and special education teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all students.		
11) Offer effective instructional materials to target needs of students with disabilities.	Problem	Statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 1		
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2, 6, 9	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all		
Critical Success Factors		teachers	students.		
CSF 1 CSF 4 CSF 6					
12) School wide tiered behavior intervention models/programs that target social, emotional, behavioral, other non-cognitive aspects supporting effective learning.	Problem	Statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 1		
System Safeguard Strategy	1	administration	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all		
Critical Success Factors			students.		
CSF 1 CSF 4					
13) Buy educational technology, blended or online learning, and "apps" for differentiated instruction/math and literacy interventions.		Statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 1		
= Accomplished $=$ C	ontinue/M	lodify = Conside	erable = Some Progress = No Progress = D	iscontinue	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 1: 22% of 6th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Math test. **Root Cause 1**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of effective planning and student centered instruction.

Goal 2: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 6th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR reading test will increase from 23% to 24%.

Evaluation Data Source(s): Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 6th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR reading test will increase from 23% to 24%.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Summative Evaluation 1:

				Revi	ews
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Formative	Summative
				Nov Jan Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	Teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all		
Critical Success Factors		Principals	students		
CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7					
1) Expenses for professional development on instructional	Problem S	tatements: Student Aca	ademic Achievement 2		
practices.					
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 8, 9	reading teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all		
Critical Success Factors			students		
CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 4					
2) Targeted, effective academic interventions aligned to	Problem S	tatements: Student Aca	ademic Achievement 2		
classroom instruction for students at risk of not meeting					
state standards, including use of technology and training.					
System Safeguard Strategy		reading teachers	1% increase at meets 6th grade level in reading for all		
Critical Success Factors	9		students		
CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7					
3) Support for data analysis activities and data-driven	Problem S	tatements: Student Aca	ademic Achievement 2		
instruction					
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all		
Critical Success Factors		reading teachers	students.		
CSF 1 CSF 7					
4) Professional development for teachers: instructional	Problem S	tatements: Student Aca	ademic Achievement 2		
practices, differentiated instruction, classroom					
management, and cultural competence, etc.					

System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	administration	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all
Critical Success Factors			students.
CSF 1 CSF 3	Duoblana C	Statements, Student A.	andamia Ashiayamant 2
5) Principal academies and/or professional development specific to instructional leadership skills.	Problem 8	statements: Student Ac	cademic Achievement 2
System Safeguard Strategy	1	Teachers and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all
Critical Success Factors CSF 1		administration	students.
6) Buy effective instructional materials, including intervention materials for at-risk students.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ad	cademic Achievement 2
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all
Critical Success Factors		teachers	students.
CSF 1 CSF 7			
7) Professional development for teachers to include effective instructional practices, tiered academic interventions, job-embedded coaching for teachers and other school leaders.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ad	cademic Achievement 2
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	teachers and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all
Critical Success Factors		administration	students.
CSF 1 CSF 4 CSF 6 CSF 7	D 11 6		
8) School wide training for all on effective classroom management.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ac	cademic Achievement 2
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2, 3, 4,	teacher and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all
Critical Success Factors	8	administration	students.
CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 3 CSF 6 CSF 7			
9) Offer scheduled-uninterrupted common planning/PLCs, specific to math and literacy initiatives.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ad	cademic Achievement 2
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all
Critical Success Factors		inclusion teachers	students.
CSF 1			
10) Professional development specific to students with disabilities for ALL staff.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ad	cademic Achievement 2
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 9	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		special education teachers	students.
11) Offer effective instructional materials to target needs of students with disabilities.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ad	cademic Achievement 2

System Safeguard Strategy Critical Success Factors	1, 2, 6, 9	administration and teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade reading for all students.			
CSF 1 CSF 4 CSF 6						
12) School wide tiered behavior intervention models/programs that target social, emotional, behavioral, other non-cognitive aspects supporting effective learning.	Problem S	statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 2			
System Safeguard Strategy	1	administration	1% increase at meets grade level in 6th grade math for all students.			
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 4						
13) Buy educational technology, blended or online learning, and "apps" for differentiated instruction/math and literacy interventions.		statements: Student A	cademic Achievement 2			
= Accomplished = Continue/Modify = Considerable = Some Progress = No Progress = Discontinue						

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 2: 23% of 6th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Reading test. **Root Cause 2**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of student relationships.

Goal 3: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 8th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR science test will increase from 36% to 37%.

Evaluation Data Source(s): Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 8th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR science test will increase from 36% to 37%.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Summative Evaluation 1:

			Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Reviews			ws
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor		Formative		Summative	
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
1) Expenses for professional development on instructional practices.	1, 4	Teachers and principals	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			\	
	Problem S	tatements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3				
2) Targeted, effective academic interventions aligned to classroom instruction for students at risk of not meeting	1, 3, 8, 9	science teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			/	
state standards, including use of technology and training.	Problem S	tatements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3				
3) Support for data analysis activities and data-driven instruction	1, 3, 4, 8,	science teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			V	
4) Professional development for teachers: instructional practices, differentiated instruction, classroom	1, 3, 4	administration and science teacher	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			\	
management, and cultural competence, etc.	Problem S	tatements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3				
5) Buy effective instructional materials, including intervention materials for at-risk students.	1	administration and science teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			\	
	Problem S	tatements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3				
6) Professional development for teachers to include effective instructional practices, tiered academic	1, 4	administration and science teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			\	
interventions, job-embedded coaching for teachers and other school leaders.	Problem S	tatements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3				
7) School wide training for all on effective classroom management.	1, 4	administration and science teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			\	
	Problem S	tatements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3				

8) Offer scheduled-uninterrupted common planning/PLCs, specific to math and literacy initiatives.		administration and science teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			
	Problem S	Statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3			
9) Buy educational technology, blended or online learning, and "apps" for differentiated instruction/math and literacy	1	administration	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade science for all students			
interventions.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 3			
Accomplished = Continue/Modify = Considerable = Some Progress = No Progress = Discontinue						

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 3: 36% of 8th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Science test. **Root Cause 3**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of student centered instruction, and low expectations

Goal 4: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 8th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR social studies test will increase from 16% to 17%.

Evaluation Data Source(s): Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of Coronado Middle School 8th grade students at the meets standard on the STAAR social studies test will increase from 16% to 17%.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Eduphoria 2017 STAAR results

Summative Evaluation 1:

						ws	
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	itor Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		Formative		Summative
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	Teachers and	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies			1	
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7		administration	for all students				
1) Expenses for professional development on instructional practices.	Problem S	tatements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 4				
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 8, 9	social studies teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies			1	
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 4			for all students			•	
2) Targeted, effective academic interventions aligned to classroom instruction for students at risk of not meeting state standards, including use of technology and training.	Problem S	statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 4				
System Safeguard Strategy		social studies teachers	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies			1	
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7	9		for all students			•	
3) Support for data analysis activities and data-driven instruction							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 3, 4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies			1	
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		social studies teachers	for all students			•	
4) Professional development for teachers: instructional practices, differentiated instruction, classroom management, and cultural competence, etc.	Problem S	statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 4				

System Safeguard Strategy	1	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies	
Critical Success Factors		social studies teacher	for all students	y y y
CSF 1				
5) Buy effective instructional materials, including	Problem	Statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 4	
intervention materials for at-risk students.		T		
System Safeguard Strategy	1,4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies for all students	
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		social studies teacher	ior an students	
6) Professional development for teachers to include effective instructional practices, tiered academic interventions, job-embedded coaching for teachers and other school leaders.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 4	
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 4	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies	
Critical Success Factors		social studies teacher	for all students	3 4
CSF 1 CSF 4 CSF 6 CSF 7 7) School wide training for all on effective classroom management.	Problem S	Statements: Student Acc	ademic Achievement 4	
System Safeguard Strategy	1	administration and	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies	
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 3 CSF 6 CSF 7	8	social studies teacher	for all students	
8) Offer scheduled-uninterrupted common planning/PLCs, specific to math and literacy initiatives.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 4	
System Safeguard Strategy	1	administration	1% increase at meets grade level in 8th grade social studies	
Critical Success Factors			for all students	Y
CSF 1 CSF 4 9) Buy educational technology, blended or online learning, and "apps" for differentiated instruction/math and literacy interventions.	Problem S	Statements: Student Ac	ademic Achievement 4	
= Accomplished = C	Continue/M	lodify = Consider	able = Some Progress = No Progress = Disc	continue

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 4: 16% of 8th grade students met grade level on the STAAR Social Studies test. **Root Cause 4**: Lack of instructional skills set, lack of professional reflection, classroom management, loose PLC structures, lack of purpose, relevance, and interest.

Performance Objective 1: 7th grade writing will increase from 59% to 61% for all students.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 1:

			Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Reviews						
Strategy Description	Title I			Formative		ive	Summative			
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus Principal	Limit prep time of teacher							
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7										
1) Analyze teacher data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement of staff										
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus administration	1 -	Administration will be knowledgeable of writing data.						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7				administration	administration					
2) Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for all students.										
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Teachers	CBA's and Benchmarks							
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7										
3) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data and evaluate instructional strategies										
= Accomplished $=$ C										

Performance Objective 2: 7th grade writing will increase from 54% to 56% for Hispanic students

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 2:

					Revie	ws			
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Fo	rmat	ive	Summative		
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June		
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7	1, 2	Campus Principal	Limit prep time						
1) Analyze teacher data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement of staff									
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7	1, 2	Campus administration	Administration will be knowledgeable of writing data.						
2) Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for Hispanic students.									
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Teachers	CBA's and Benchmarks						
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7									
3) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data and evaluate instructional strategies									
= Accomplished $=$ C									

Performance Objective 3: 7th grade writing will increase from 55% to 57% for economically disadvantaged.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 3:

						ws	
Strategy Description	Title I	Title I Monitor Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		Formative			Summative
					Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus Principal	Limit Prep Time				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
1) Analyze teacher data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement of staff							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus	Administration will be knowledgeable of writing data.				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		administration					
2) Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for economically disadvantaged							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Teachers	CBA's and Benchmarks				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
3) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data and evaluate instructional strategies							
= Accomplished = C	Continue/M	odify = Conside	erable = Some Progress = No Progress = Di	scontin	ue		

Performance Objective 4: 7th Grade writing will increase from 28% to 38% for Special Education

Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 4:

						Revie	ews
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Formative			Summative
					Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus Principal	Limit Prep Time				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
1) Analyze teacher data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement of staff							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus	Administration will be knowledgeable of writing data.				
PBMAS		administration					
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
2) Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for special ed.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Teachers	CBA's and Benchmarks				
PBMAS							
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
3) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data with accommodations used.							
= Accomplished = 0	Continue/M	odify = Conside	erable = Some Progress = No Progress = D	iscontin	ue	•	

Performance Objective 5: 8th Grade social studies will increase from 47% to 49% for all students.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 5: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 5:

			Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			Revie	ws
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor			rmat	Summative	
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7	1, 2	Teachers	CBAs, Benchmark improvement.				
1) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share data of all students.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus	Knowledgeable on 8th grade social studies data.				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7		Administration					
2) Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for all students.							
\checkmark = Accomplished \rightarrow = C	ontinue/M	odify = Consider	able = Some Progress = No Progress = Dis	scontin	ue	-	

Performance Objective 6: 8th Grade social studies will increase from 44% to 46% for Hispanic.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 6: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 6:

						Revie	ws
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		rmat	Summative	
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Teachers	CBAs, Benchmark improvement.				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
1) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share data of all							
students.							
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7	1, 2	Campus Administration	Knowledgeable on 8th grade social studies data.				
2) Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for Hispanic students.							
= Accomplished $=$ C	ontinue/M	odify = Considera	able = Some Progress = No Progress = Dis	scontin	ıe		

Performance Objective 7: Reading will increase from 29% to 39% for Sped.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 7: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 7:

						Revie	ws
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Formative			Summative
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Administration	knowledgeable of SPED data				
PBMAS							
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
1) Administration will attend STAAR/EOC PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for Special Education students.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Teachers	Growth on CBAs and Benchmarks				
PBMAS							
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
2) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share Special Education data with accommodations used.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus admin	Providing greatest Sped support through hand scheduling.				
PBMAS							
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
3) Analyze trend data (teacher data, STAAR scores) to							
determine Special Education student growth and							
placement.							
= Accomplished $=$ C	ontinue/M	odify = Consid	erable = Some Progress = No Progress = Di	scontin	ue		

Performance Objective 8: Reading will increase from 3% to 13% for ELL.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 8: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 8:

						Revie	ws
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Formative			Summative
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1	Campus Admin	Intervention plans should represent data driven decisions				
PBMAS	9		during PLC meetings.				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7							
1) LPAC shall schedule individual/grade level academic meetings with Administration, reading teacher, and instructional support staff monthly.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2, 4, 8, Reading Teachers and Increase reading scores on each benchmark and state						
PBMAS	9	Certified Instructional	al assessment.				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7		Support Staff					
2) Apply information from data based evidence to assign specific reading interventions for each ELL student.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2, 4, 8,	Campus Principal	Hand schedule students into classrooms with greatest ELL				
PBMAS	9		support.				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7							
3) Analyze trend data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores,							
student growth) to determine best placement.							
= Accomplished $=$ C	Continue/Mo	odify = Consider	able = Some Progress = No Progress = Di	scontin	ue		

Performance Objective 9: Math will increase from 36% to 46% for Special Education

Evaluation Data Source(s) 9: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 9:

						ws	
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	Formative			Summative
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Administration	Knowledgeable of SPED data				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
1) Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for Special Education students.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Teachers	Growth on CBAs and Benchmarks				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
2) Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share Special Education data with accommodations used.							
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2	Campus admin	Providing greatest Sped support through hand scheduling.				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 7							
3) Analyze trend data (teacher data, STAAR scores) to determine Special Education student growth and placement.							
= Accomplished $=$ C	Continue/M	odify = Conside	erable = Some Progress = No Progress = Di	scontin	ue		

Performance Objective 10: Math will increase from 52% to 62% for ELL

Evaluation Data Source(s) 10: 2017 TAPR

Summative Evaluation 10:

			Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			ws	
Strategy Description	Title I	Monitor			rmat	ive	Summative
				Nov	Jan	Mar	June
System Safeguard Strategy	1, 2, 4, 8,	1 *	Intervention plans should represent data driven decisions				
Critical Success Factors CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7	9		during PLC				
1) LPAC shall schedule individual/grade level academic meetings with Administration, math teacher, and instructional support staff monthly.							
Critical Success Factors	1, 2, 4, 8,	Math Teachers and	Increase reading scores on each benchmark and state				
CSF 1 CSF 2 CSF 7	9	Certified Instructional	assessment.				
2) Apply information from data based evidence to assign specific math interventions for each ELL student.		Support Staff					
_/ _	ontinue/Mo	odify = Considera	able = Some Progress = No Progress = Dis	scontinu	ıe		

System Safeguard Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
1	1	1	Expenses for professional development on instructional practices.
1	1	2	Targeted, effective academic interventions aligned to classroom instruction for students at risk of not meeting state standards, including use of technology and training.
1	1	3	Support for data analysis activities and data-driven instruction.
1	1	4	Professional development for teachers: instructional practices, differentiated instruction, classroom management, and cultural competence, etc.
1	1	5	Principal academies and/or professional development specific to instructional leadership skills.
1	1	6	Buy effective instructional materials, including intervention materials for at-risk students.
1	1	7	Professional development for teachers to include effective instructional practices, tiered academic interventions, job-embedded coaching for teachers and other school leaders.
1	1	8	School wide training for all on effective classroom management.
1	1	9	Offer scheduled-uninterrupted common planning/PLCs, specific to math and literacy initiatives.
1	1	10	Professional development specific to students with disabilities for ALL staff.
1	1	11	Offer effective instructional materials to target needs of students with disabilities.
1	1	12	School wide tiered behavior intervention models/programs that target social, emotional, behavioral, other non-cognitive aspects supporting effective learning.
1	1	13	Buy educational technology, blended or online learning, and "apps" for differentiated instruction/math and literacy interventions.
2	1	1	Expenses for professional development on instructional practices.
2	1	2	Targeted, effective academic interventions aligned to classroom instruction for students at risk of not meeting state standards, including use of technology and training.
2	1	3	Support for data analysis activities and data-driven instruction
2	1	4	Professional development for teachers: instructional practices, differentiated instruction, classroom management, and cultural competence, etc.
2	1	5	Principal academies and/or professional development specific to instructional leadership skills.
2	1	6	Buy effective instructional materials, including intervention materials for at-risk students.

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
2	1	7	Professional development for teachers to include effective instructional practices, tiered academic interventions, job-embedded coaching for teachers and other school leaders.
2	1	8	School wide training for all on effective classroom management.
2	1	9	Offer scheduled-uninterrupted common planning/PLCs, specific to math and literacy initiatives.
2	1	10	Professional development specific to students with disabilities for ALL staff.
2	1	11	Offer effective instructional materials to target needs of students with disabilities.
2	1	12	School wide tiered behavior intervention models/programs that target social, emotional, behavioral, other non-cognitive aspects supporting effective learning.
2	1	13	Buy educational technology, blended or online learning, and "apps" for differentiated instruction/math and literacy interventions.
4	1	1	Expenses for professional development on instructional practices.
4	1	2	Targeted, effective academic interventions aligned to classroom instruction for students at risk of not meeting state standards, including use of technology and training.
4	1	3	Support for data analysis activities and data-driven instruction
4	1	4	Professional development for teachers: instructional practices, differentiated instruction, classroom management, and cultural competence, etc.
4	1	5	Buy effective instructional materials, including intervention materials for at-risk students.
4	1	6	Professional development for teachers to include effective instructional practices, tiered academic interventions, job-embedded coaching for teachers and other school leaders.
4	1	7	School wide training for all on effective classroom management.
4	1	8	Offer scheduled-uninterrupted common planning/PLCs, specific to math and literacy initiatives.
4	1	9	Buy educational technology, blended or online learning, and "apps" for differentiated instruction/math and literacy interventions.
5	1	1	Analyze teacher data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement of staff
5	1	2	Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for all students.
5	1	3	Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data and evaluate instructional strategies
5	2	3	Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data and evaluate instructional strategies
5	3	1	Analyze teacher data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement of staff
5	3	2	Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for economically disadvantaged

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
5	3	3	Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data and evaluate instructional strategies
5	4	1	Analyze teacher data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement of staff
5	4	2	Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for special ed.
5	4	3	Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share writing data with accommodations used.
5	5	2	Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for all students.
5	6	1	Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share data of all students.
5	7	1	Administration will attend STAAR/EOC PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for Special Education students.
5	7	2	Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share Special Education data with accommodations used.
5	7	3	Analyze trend data (teacher data, STAAR scores) to determine Special Education student growth and placement.
5	8	1	LPAC shall schedule individual/grade level academic meetings with Administration, reading teacher, and instructional support staff monthly.
5	8	2	Apply information from data based evidence to assign specific reading interventions for each ELL student.
5	8	3	Analyze trend data (teacher data, STAAR/EOC scores, student growth) to determine best placement.
5	9	1	Administration will attend STAAR PLC meetings to discuss data and intervention plans for Special Education students.
5	9	2	Teachers will attend PLC meetings and share Special Education data with accommodations used.
5	9	3	Analyze trend data (teacher data, STAAR scores) to determine Special Education student growth and placement.
5	10	1	LPAC shall schedule individual/grade level academic meetings with Administration, math teacher, and instructional support staff monthly.

State Compensatory

Budget for Coronado Jr. High:

Account Code	Account Title	<u>Budget</u>
6100 Payroll Costs		
199-11-6119-00-043-0-30-000	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$276,750.00
211-11-6119-00-043-8-30-000	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$89,820.00
199-11-6127-15-043-0-30-000	6127 Extra Duty Pay/Paraprofessional - Locally Defined	\$890.00
199-11-6129-00-043-0-30-000	6129 Salaries or Wages for Support Personnel	\$54,412.00
211-11-6129-00-043-8-30-000	6129 Salaries or Wages for Support Personnel	\$65,114.00
199-11-6141-00-043-0-30-000	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$4,802.00
211-11-6141-00-043-8-30-000	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$2,246.00
199-11-6142-00-043-0-30-000	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$30,690.00
211-11-6142-00-043-8-30-000	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$12,232.00
199-11-6144-00-043-0-30-000	6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment	\$23,042.00
199-11-6146-00-043-0-30-000	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$9,623.00
211-11-6146-00-043-8-30-000	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$11,685.00
199-11-6147-00-043-0-30-000	6148 Employee Benefits - Locally Defined	\$51.00
211-11-6147-00-043-8-30-000	6148 Employee Benefits - Locally Defined	\$24.00
	6100 Subtotal:	\$581,381.00
6200 Professional and Contracted	Services	
199-13-6291-07-043-0-24-153	6291 Consulting Services	\$14,000.00
211-13-6291-00-043-8-30-000	6291 Consulting Services	\$5,325.00
	6200 Subtotal:	\$19,325.00
6300 Supplies and Services		

211-61-6329-00-043-8-30-000	6329 Reading Materials	\$650.00
199-11-6399-16-043-0-24-004	6399 General Supplies	\$550.00
199-13-6399-07-043-0-30-153	6399 General Supplies	\$1,625.00
211-11-6399-00-043-8-30-066	6399 General Supplies	\$10,001.00
	6300 Subtotal:	\$12,826.00
(400 Odless Ossistation Contra		
6400 Other Operating Costs		
199-21-6411-07-043-0-30-153	6411 Employee Travel	\$1,375.00
211-13-6411-00-043-8-30-000	6411 Employee Travel	\$13,468.00
211-23-6411-00-043-8-30-000	6411 Employee Travel	\$3,000.00
211-61-6499-00-043-8-30-000	6499 Miscellaneous Operating Costs	\$1,500.00
	6400 Subtotal:	\$19,343.00

Personnel for Coronado Jr. High:

Name	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Annaliza Molinar	Paraprofessional	SCE	.50
Benjalyn Foster	Teacher/English//Reading	SCE	1.00
Brenda Hearn	Paraprofessional	SCE	1.00
Elizabeth Reyna	Paraprofessional	SCE	.50
Gary Tipton	Teacher/Math	SCE	1.00
Irene Molinar	Paraprofessional	SCE	.50
Jaivonda Kinner	Teacher/English/Reading	SCE	1.00
Jessica Thornton	Teacher/English/Reading	SCE	1.00
Lidia Simmons	Teacher/English/Reading	SCE	1.00
Melody Lees	Teacher/English/Reading	SCE	1.00

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Amy Carrasco	Teacher/Math	Title!	1.00
Araceli Ortiz	Paraprofessional/ESL	Title I	1.00
Patty Roll	Teacher/Dyslexia	Title I	.50
Tina Bettis	Teacher/English/Reading	Title I	1.00

Campus Advisory Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Andrew Hannon	Principal
Paraprofessional	Monica Rodriguez	secretary
District-level Professional	Robin Salazar	district counselor
Classroom Teacher	Amy Carrasco	math interventionist
Classroom Teacher	Brenda McDowell	7th math teacher
Classroom Teacher	Darren Whalen	technology teacher
Classroom Teacher	Beth Logsdon	Resource Teacher
Business Representative	Doug Blackerby	Business owner
Business Representative	Obet Medina	restaurant owner
Community Representative	John Browning	business owner
Community Representative	Jim Tirey	attorney
Parent	Mitzi Kelley	accountant
Classroom Teacher	JaiVonda Kinner	ELAR Teacher
Parent	Amber Bass	
Non-classroom Professional	Kasey Johnson	Counselor